

Figure 1. Pseudo-first-order rates observed for la-e with excess diazoacetophenone.



Figure 2. Relationship of second-order rate constant of the reaction of 1a-e and 2 to redox potentials of 1a-e.



cations are very reactive with a variety of functional groups including carbonyl, epoxy, and olefinic compounds. We are currently investigating these reactions at greater length as well as looking into the synthetic exploitation of these reagents as selective oxidants.

Registry No. 1a, 78065-12-0; 1b, 78065-13-1; 1c, 78065-14-2; 1d, 78090-05-8; 1e, 78065-15-3; 2, 3282-32-4.

Carol R. Jones

IBM Research Laboratory San Jose, California 95193 Received April 8, 1981

A Disilylated Iron Carbonyl Complex as Reagent for the Conversion of Nitriles into Aldehyde-Enamines

Summary: A readily available disilylated iron carbonyl complex converted nitriles into aldehyde enamines. This chemical transformation is proved to be useful for the reduction of nitriles into aldehydes and for the protection of nitriles.

Sir: Our current interest in the use of organometallic compounds in organic synthesis has led us to investigate the reactivity of organosilyl transition-metal complexes.¹ These compounds are potential reagents for organic syntheses.²

In a previous communication, we mentioned the formation of a silylated vinylamine by photolysis of silylated iron carbonyl complexes in the presence of nitriles.¹ We report herein the use of a readily available iron carbonyl complex to effect this new chemical transformation and some of its possible uses in organic synthesis.

Complex 1, originally prepared by Fink,³ was easily obtained from 1,2-bis(dimethylsilyl)benzene⁴ and iron pentacarbonyl (eq 1).



Exposing hexane solutions of equimolecular amounts of nitrile and complex 1 to UV light for several hours afforded the disilylated enamines 2 in good yields (eq 2).⁵



These enamines are very stable and did not hydrolyze under neutral conditions. However, hydrolysis with dilute HCl led to the corresponding aldehydes in good yields. As illustrated in Table I, a wide range of nitriles were

converted into aldehyde-enamines. Alkyl and benzyl

(4) Fink, W. Helv. Chim. Acta 1974, 57, 1010; Bourgeois, P.; Calas, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 84, 165.

(5) The reaction of acetonitrile with complex 1 illustrates the standard procedure: complex 1 (3.09 g, 8.6×10^{-3} mol) and acetonitrile (0.35 g, 8.6×10^{-3} mol) were dissolved in 200 mL of dry degased hexane. The solution was irradiated at room temperature for 30 h, using an immersed high-pressure 450-W mercury lamp in a quartz reaction vessel. The reaction mixture was filtrated, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Distillation of the residue afforded 1.4 g (70%) of the disilylated vinylamine [bp (18 mm) = 95 °C).

0022-3263/81/1946-3372\$01.25/0 © 1981 American Chemical Society

⁽¹⁾ Corriu, R. J. P.; Moreau, J. J. E. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1980, 278.

⁽²⁾ Johnson, D. L.; Gladysz, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 6433. (3) We found dozen-gram quantities of complex 1 were best obtained by using the photochemical reaction with $Fe(CO)_6$ rather than using the reaction with $Fe_2(CO)_9$ (Fink, W. Helv. Chim. Acta 1976, 59, 606). In a typical preparation, 6 mL (45×10^{-3} mol) of iron pentacarbonyl and 8.8 g (45×10^{-3} mol) of 1,2-bis(dimethylsilyl)benzene were dissolved in 600 mL of dry degased hexane. The solution was irradiated at room temperature for 15 h, using an immersed 450-W high-pressure mercury lamp in a quartz reaction vessel. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the brown residue was dissolved in 30 mL of degased *n*-pentane; crystallization at $-78 \,^{\circ}$ C afforded 11.0 g of complex 1 (68%) as off-white air-stable crystals.

entry	nitrile	reaction time, h	enamines	E:Z ^b	isolated yield, %	aldehydes	% yield <i>c</i>
1	CH ₃ CN	30	СH2=СНЮ		70	CH ₃ CHO	65
2	CH ₃ CH ₂ CN	30	снзсн=снр	65:35	85	CH ₃ CH ₂ CHO	82
3	(CH ₃) ₂ CHCN	30			80	(CH ₃) ₂ CHCHO	68
4	PhCH ₂ CN	21	Ръсн=снл	55:45	95	PhCH ₂ CHO	79
5	Ph ₂ CHCN	20	Ph ₂ C=CHN		78	Ph ₂ CHCHO	84
6	NC(CH ₂) ₄ CN	34	NC(CH2)3CH=CHN	18:82	70	NC(CH ₂) ₄ CHO	79
7	CH ₂ CN CN	22		50:50	50		
8	$MeOCH_2CN$	43		40:60	70		
9	EtOOCCH ₂ CN	6	Et00CCH=CHN	100:0	83	EtOOCCH ₂ CHO	73
10		76		е	60		
11	CH2CH2CN	30	CH2CH=CHN	56:44	75		

Table I. Conversion of Nitriles into Disilylated Enamines and Hydrolysis to Aldehydes a

^a Reactions were carried out by irradiating a hexane solution of a 1:1 mixture of nitrile and complex 1, using a highpressure 450-W mercury lamp in a quartz reaction vessel. After complete reaction, as monitored by IR spectroscopy, the solvent was removed, and the enamines were isolated by distillation from the residue. ^b E:Z ratio was determined by NMR spectroscopy at 100 MHz. ^c Isolated as pure recrystallized 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone. ^d $-\sqrt{2}$ stands for



^e NMR spectroscopy indicated the presence of two isomers in a 7:3 ratio; E and Z structures have not been assigned.

cyanides gave a 70–95% yield of enamines as a mixture of E and Z isomers, which upon hydrolysis yielded the aldehydes (cf. entries 1–5). Complex 1 thus appears as an interesting reagent for the reduction of nitriles into aldehydes, for which only a few methods are available.⁶

The synthetic utility of such a reduction is well illustrated by the reaction of complex 1 with dinitriles. Adiponitrile was easily converted to the monoenamine, and subsequent hydrolysis gave the cyanoaldehyde (cf. entry 6). Also of interest is the reaction with α -cyanotoluonitrile for which reduction occurred only for the cyano group having α hydrogen atoms (cf. entry 7). Nitriles containing other functional groups were also selectively converted to functional enamines (cf. entries 8–11). However, with keto nitriles, good yields of the enamines were obtained only for a protected carbonyl group.

The disilylated enamines 2 appeared as a new functional group since they exhibited different reactivity compared to carbon enamines. The propenylamine, obtained from propionitrile, failed to react with benzoyl chloride under the usual reaction conditions. An electronic interaction between silicon and nitrogen can account for this weak nucleophilic character. Moreover, the silicon-nitrogen bond is very stable. No cleavage occurred with nucleophiles such as LiAlH₄ and Grignard or lithium reagents.



(6) Backeberg, O. G.; Staskun, B. J. Chem. Soc. 1962, 3961, and references therein; Malek, J.; Cerny, M. Synthesis 1972, 217; Fry, J. L. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1974, 45.



Owing to the stability of the silicon-nitrogen bonds, disilylated enamines could be used as a protecting group for nitriles as exemplified in Scheme I.

The cyano enamine 3 obtained from adiponitrile was reduced to the amino enamine 4 by LiAlH_4 . Similarly, phenylmagnesium bromide reacted with the nitrile function, which led to the keto enamine 5. In both cases, mild hydrolysis did not affect the enamine function.

Complex 1 constitutes an attractive reagent which effects a unique chemical transformation of nitriles into disilylated enamines. These enamines can be used both for new functionalization and protection of nitriles. We are currently investigating the chemical reactivity of these new enamine reagents derived from nitriles and their synthetic uses.

Registry No. 1, 56977-62-9; 2 ($\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{H}$), 78108-63-1; 2-(*E*) (\mathbf{R} = CH_3 ; R' = H), 78108-64-2; 2-(Z) (R = CH_3 ; R' = H), 78108-65-3; **2-**(*E*) ($\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{CH}_3$), 78108-66-4; **2-**(*E*) ($\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Ph}$; $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{H}$), 78108-67-5; 2-(Z) (R = Ph; R' = H), 78108-68-6; 2 (R = R' = Ph), 78108-69-7; 2-(E) (R = 2-cyanophenyl; R' = H), 78108-70-0; 2-(Z) (R = 2-cyanophenyl; $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{H}$), 78108-71-1; 2-(*E*) ($\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{MeO}$; $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{H}$), 78108-72-2; $2 \cdot (Z)$ (R = MeO; R' = H), 78108-73-3; $2 \cdot (E)$ (R = COOEt; $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{H}$), 78108-74-4; 2-(E) (R = 2-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl; R' = Ph), 78108-75-5; 2-(Z) (R = 2-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl; R' = Ph), 78108-76-6; 2-(E) (R = 6-(1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decyl)methyl; R' = H), 78108-77-7; 2-(Z) (R = 6-(1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decyl)methyl; R' = H), 78108-78-8; 3-(E), 78108-79-9; 3-(Z), 78108-80-2; 4, 78108-81-3; 5, 78108-82-4; acetonitrile, 75-05-8; propanenitrile, 107-12-0; 2methylpropanenitrile, 78-82-0; benzeneacetonitrile, 140-29-4; α -phenylbenzeneacetonitrile, 86-29-3; hexanedinitrile, 111-69-3; 2-cyanobenzeneacetonitrile, 3759-28-2; methoxyacetonitrile, 1738-36-9; cyanoacetic acid, ethyl ester, 105-56-6; 2-methyl-a-phenyl-1,3-dioxolane-2-acetonitrile, 78108-83-5; 1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decane-6propanenitrile, 78108-84-6; acetaldehyde, 75-07-0; propanal, 123-38-6; 2-methylpropanal, 78-84-2; benzeneacetaldehyde, 122-78-1; α -phenylbenzeneacetaldehyde, 947-91-1; 6-oxohexanenitrile, 3523-02-2; 3-oxopropanoic acid, ethyl ester, 34780-29-5; acetaldehyde 2,4-DNP 1019-57-4; propanal 2,4-DNP, 725-00-8; 2-methylpropanal 2,4-DNP, 2057-82-1; benzeneacetaldehyde 2,4-DNP, 2074-04-6; α -phenylbenzeneacetaldehyde 2,4-DNP, 10479-11-5; 6-oxohexanenitrile 2,4-DNP, 13050-18-5; 3-oxopropanoic acid, ethyl ester 2,4-DNP, 2003-74-9.

Robert J. P. Corriu,* Joël J. E. Moreau Magali Pataud-Sat

Laboratoire des Organométalliques E.R.A. No. 554 Université des Sciences et Techniques du Languedoc 34060 Montpellier, France Received January 21, 1981

Regio- and Stereoselective Anti-Markovnikov Hydrosulfonylation of Conjugated Dienes via π -Allylpalladium Complex: Synthesis of (Z)- Δ^3 -Alkenyl Sulfones

Summary: Butadienes of type 4 may be converted to products of type 7 by means of palladium chloride.

Sir: Stereocontrolled di- and trisubstituted olefin synthesis is still a problem of pressing concern in organic synthesis. Palladium chemistry has made a great contribution to this problem.¹ The reactions via π -allylpalladium intermediates, however, are generally limited to the syntheses of E olefins, reflecting the thermodynamically favored syn geometry.² Typical examples are illustrated in eq 1. The



^{(1) (}a) Trost, B. M.; Runge, T. A.; Jungheim, L. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
1980, 102, 2840. (b) Trost, B. M.; Fortunack, J. M. Ibid. 1980, 102, 2841.
(c) Trost, B. M.; Vincent, J. E. Ibid. 1980, 102, 5680. (d) Trost, B. M.;
Curran, D. P. Ibid. 1980, 102, 5699. (e) Trost, B. M.; Schmuff, N. R.;
Miller, M. J. Ibid. 1980, 102, 5979. (f) Overman, L. E.; Knoll, F. M. Ibid.
1980, 102, 865. (g) Negishi, E.; Valente, L. F.; Kobayashi, M. Ibid. 1980, 102, 200. 102, 3298. (h) Tsuji, J.; Shimizu, I.; Suzuki, H.; Naito, Y. Ibid. 1979, 101, 5070. (i) Larock, R. C.; Biefling, B. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 1468. For a review, see: Trost, B. M. Tetrahedron 1977, 33, 2615.

alkylation of 1 with sulfonylacetate anion provides (E)- Δ^3 -sulfone derivatives 2. Degradation with CH₃ONa-C- H_3OH ,³ NaCN-CH₃OH,⁴ or reducing agents (NaBH₄,⁵ LiAlH₄,⁶ HCO₂H-pyridine)⁷ also gives rise to *E* olefins, but in these cases comparable amounts of mixtures of regioisomers are produced, predominately the more substituted ones. In sharp contrast to these, we have found that treatment of the π -allylpalladium complex (3) with 2 equiv of dimethylglyoxime (DMG; in CH₃OH in the presence of an appropriate amount of pyridine to dissolve 3 at ambient temperature overnight) provides Z olefins as a mixture of regioisomers [(Z)-1-p-tolyl-5-phenylhex-3-ene and (Z)-1p-tolyl-5-phenylhex-2-ene in a ratio of 1:2 in virtually quantitative yield (eq 2)].⁸ Interestingly, this reaction is



clearly accompanied by the inversion of configuration around the allylic moiety of $3.^9$ We expected that the above stereoselectivity, coupled with the regioselectivity as observed in the anti-Markovnikov hydrosulfonvlation of 1,3-dienes,¹⁰ might be applied to the preparation of useful synthons, (Z)- Δ^3 -sulfones 7 (Scheme I). Indeed, this proved to be the case, and (Z)- Δ^3 -sulfones 7 were prepared in high regio- and stereoselectivities, independent of the configuration of the starting 1,3-dienes.¹¹ Results are summarized in Table I, which covers dienes with various structural features. The reaction consists of two steps: preparation of π -allylpalladium complexes 5 and 6 and degradation of these with DMG, as typified in the following example (entry 1). A mixture of 1,3-pentadiene (cis-trans mixture, 3 mmol), PdCl₂ (2 mmol), and sodium neophylsulfinate (4 mmol) in 20 mL of acetic acid was heated at 50 °C for 4 h with magnetic stirring. After the usual extractive workup with EtOAc (NaHCO₃- H_2O) and evaporation of the solvent, the yellow residue of 5a and 6a ($R^1 = CH_3$, $R^2 = R^3 = H$) was dissolved in CH_3OH^{12} and treated with DMG (4 mmol). After the mixture was stirred overnight at ambient temperature and the CH₃OH evaporated, the yellow solid residue was washed several times with benzene-hexane. Purification of the extracts by column chromatography (silica gel, benzene-EtOAc

(6) Jones, D. N.; Knox, S. D. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1975, 165.

(b) Fourier showed J = 11-12 Hz for of the olefinic protons in the ¹H NMR (100 MHz) and no =CH out of plane bending around 960 cm⁻¹ in the IR (ascribable to trans-disubstituted olefins).

(9) The syn geometry of 3 (as a solution in $CDCl_3$ or CD_3OD) is apparent from the coupling pattern (triplet, J = 11.5 Hz) of the proton attached to the central carbon of allylic moiety. Robinson, S. D.; Shaw, B. L. J. Chem. Soc. 1963, 4806.

(10) Tamaru, Y.; Kagotani, M.; Yoshida, Z. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1978, 367.

(11) E and Z mixtures of dienes were used without separation.

(12) It is crucial to dissolve 5 (and/or 6) completely before addition of DMG, otherwise the reaction loses its selectivity to give intractable mixtures, which mainly consist of 7 and/or 8 and their regio isomers, dienyl neophyl sulfones, and starting dienes. In cases where 5 showed very poor solubility in CH_3OH , a sufficient amount of pyridine (2–5 equiv to 5) or CH_2Cl_2 was added to dissolve the 5.

⁽²⁾ In some instances, Z olefins are prepared by kinetically controlled reactions using Z-allylic acetates as starting materials. Trost, B. M.;

<sup>reactions using 2-allylic acetates as starting materials. 170st, B. M.;
Verhoeven, T. R. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 3215.
(3) (a) Faller, J. W.; Laffey, K. J. Organomet. Chem. Synth. 1972, 1, 471. (b) Christ, D.-C. H.; Hüttel, R. Angew. Chem. 1963, 75, 921. (c) Schenach, T. A.; Caserio, F. F., Jr. J. Organomet. Chem. 1969, 17. (d) Hüttel, R.; Kochs, P. Chem. Ber. 1968, 101, 1043.</sup>

 ⁽⁴⁾ Dunne, K.; McQuillin, F. J. J. Chem. Soc. C 1970, 2196.
 (5) (a) Bullpitt, M. L.; Kitching, W. J. Organomet. Chem. 1972, 46, 21.
 (b) Hutchins, R. O.; Learn, K.; Fulton, R. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, $\dot{27}$

⁽⁷⁾ Tsuji, J.; Yamakawa, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 613.